UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,705	11/21/2003	Vadim Sheinin	YOR920030561US1 2960 (17147)	
	7590 06/11/200 FT MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN		BLOOM, NATHAN J		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,705	SHEININ, VADIM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NATHAN BLOOM	2624		

	NATHAN BLOOM	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the state of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount or the	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria	n. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	•	-
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-9,11-17 and 19-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	,	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment to the independent claims would require further search and consideration. Applicants arguments directed towards the newly amended subject matter have not been considered. However, applicants' arguments directed towards the combination of Nishida and Plttel have been considered, and are not persuasive. Pittel has taught the determination of the orientation of an object, but not the use of only two points to determine the alignment of an object. However, Nishida has taught the determination of the alignment of an object using two points (see sections referred to in the previously presented office action). Thus, as has been evidenced by the teachings of Nishda the determination of an object containing two points was known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the orientation determination method of Pittel for that of Nishida to reduce the number of points required in determination object orientation. Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have had a reasonable expectation for success in substituting the determination of orientation using less points.